

# Ordinary differential Equations

UNIT - I : Linear Equation with constant coefficients

Second order homogenous Equations - Initial value problems - Linear dependence and Independence - Wronskian and formula for wronskian - Non homogenous equations of order two

Chapter 2: Sec 1 to 6

UNIT - II : Continue

Homogenous and Non-homogenous equation of order  $n$  - Initial value problem - Annihilator method to solve non homogenous equation - Algebra of constant coefficient operators.

Chapter 2: Sec 7 to 12

UNIT - III : Linear Equations with variable coefficient

Initial value problem - Existence and uniqueness Theorem - Solution to solve a non homogenous equations - Wronskian and linear independence - Reduction of the a homogenous equation - homogenous equation with analytic coefficients - The Legendre's equation

Chapter 3: Sec 1 to 8

UNIT - IV : Linear Equations with regular singular points

Linear Equation with constant coefficient

Section - 1

Introduction:

A linear differential equation of order  $n$  with constant coefficient is of the form

$$a_0 y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + a_2 y^{n-2} + \dots + a_n y = b(x) \rightarrow (1)$$

where  $a_0 \neq 0$ ,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are complex constant and  $b$  is some complex value function on the interval  $I$

$a_0 = 1$ , we get

$$y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + a_2 y^{n-2} + \dots + a_n y = b(x) \rightarrow (2)$$

Take  $L(y) = y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n y \rightarrow (3)$

$(2) \Rightarrow L(y) = b(x) \rightarrow (4)$

If  $b(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in I$  equation (4) become

$L(y) = 0$  and is called homogenous equation.

If  $b(x) \neq 0$  equation (2) is called non-homogenous equation.

Note:  $L$  is called differential operator. If  $\phi(x)$  is a function with derivatives upto order  $n$  then

$$L[\phi(x)] = \phi^n(x) + a_1 \phi^{n-1}(x) + \dots + a_n \phi(x)$$

$$\therefore L[\phi] = \phi^n + a_1 \phi^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \phi$$

When ever  $L[\phi(x)] = b(x)$  then  $\phi(x)$  is called

Soln of the equation

$$L(y) = b(x)$$

## Section - 2

The second order homogenous equation:

Here we are considered the equation

$$L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are constants, we recall that the 1<sup>st</sup> order equation with constant coefficient  $y' + ay = 0$  has a soln  $e^{-ax}$  the constant  $a$  is this soln is the soln of the equation

$$r + a = 0$$

for some appropriate constant  $r$ ,  $e^{rx}$  will be a soln of the equation  $\textcircled{1}$

$$L(e^{rx}) = [r^2 + a_1 r + a_2] e^{rx}$$

and  $e^{rx}$  will be a soln of  $L(y) = 0$

i)  $L(e^{rx}) = 0$  if  $r$  satisfies

$$r^2 + a_1 r + a_2 = 0$$

We let

$$p(r) = r^2 + a_1 r + a_2$$

and call  $p$  the characteristic polynomial

$$\textcircled{ii} L(e^{rx}) = p(r) e^{rx} \quad \forall r \text{ and } x.$$

Theorem: 1

Let  $a_1, a_2$  be a constant and consider the equation

$$L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0.$$

(i) If  $r_1, r_2$  are two distinct roots of the characteristic polynomial  $p(r)$  then the function  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are defined by  $\phi_1(x) = e^{r_1 x}$  and  $\phi_2(x) = e^{r_2 x}$  where

Proof:

Consider the equation

$$(i) \quad L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0 \rightarrow (1)$$

characteristic polynomial is

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + a_1 \lambda + a_2 = 0$$

Since  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are roots of  $p(\lambda)$  then

$$p(\lambda_1) = 0, \quad p(\lambda_2) = 0$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} p(\lambda_1) &= \lambda_1^2 + a_1 \lambda_1 + a_2 = 0 \\ p(\lambda_2) &= \lambda_2^2 + a_1 \lambda_2 + a_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow (2)$$

$$L[\phi_1(x)] = L[e^{\lambda_1 x}]$$

$$= (e^{\lambda_1 x})'' + a_1 (e^{\lambda_1 x})' + a_2 e^{\lambda_1 x}$$

$$= \lambda_1^2 (e^{\lambda_1 x}) + a_1 \lambda_1 (e^{\lambda_1 x}) + a_2 e^{\lambda_1 x}$$

$$= e^{\lambda_1 x} [\lambda_1^2 + a_1 \lambda_1 + a_2]$$

$$= e^{\lambda_1 x} (0)$$

$$L[\phi_1(x)] = 0$$

$$L[\phi_2(x)] = L[e^{\lambda_2 x}]$$

$$= (e^{\lambda_2 x})'' + a_1 (e^{\lambda_2 x})' + a_2 e^{\lambda_2 x}$$

$$= \lambda_2^2 (e^{\lambda_2 x}) + a_1 \lambda_2 (e^{\lambda_2 x}) + a_2 e^{\lambda_2 x}$$

$$= e^{\lambda_2 x} [\lambda_2^2 + a_1 \lambda_2 + a_2]$$

$$= e^{\lambda_2 x} (0)$$

$$L[\phi_2(x)] = 0$$

$\therefore \phi_2(x)$  soln of  $L(y) = 0$ .

(ii) When  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + a_1 \lambda + a_2 = 0 \rightarrow (3)$$

$$p'(\lambda) = 2\lambda + a_1 = 0$$

$$\phi(x) = x e^{\lambda_1 x}$$

$$L[\phi(x)] = L[x e^{\lambda_1 x}]$$

$$= (x e^{\lambda_1 x})'' + a_1 (x e^{\lambda_1 x})' + a_2 (x e^{\lambda_1 x})$$

$$= (x \lambda_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + e^{\lambda_1 x})' + a_1 (x e^{\lambda_1 x}) + a_2 x e^{\lambda_1 x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x r_1^2 e^{r_1 x} + r_1 e^{r_1 x} + r_1 e^{r_1 x} + a_1 x r_1 e^{r_1 x} + a_1 e^{r_1 x} + a_2 x e^{r_1 x} \quad (4) \\
&= x e^{r_1 x} [r_1^2 + a_1 r_1 + a_2] + [2r_1 + a_1] e^{r_1 x} \\
&= x e^{r_1 x} (0) + (0) e^{r_1 x} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore L[\varphi_2(x)]$  is the soln of  $L(y) = 0$

$\varphi_2(x) = x e^{r_1 x}$  is the soln of  $L[\varphi(x)]$

Note:

Let  $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x)$  be soln of  $L(y) = 0$  then

$\varphi = c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2$  is also soln of  $L(y) = 0$ .

Proof:

Since  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are soln

$$L[\varphi_1] = \varphi_1'' + a_1 \varphi_1' + a_2 \varphi_1 = 0$$

$$L[\varphi_2] = \varphi_2'' + a_1 \varphi_2' + a_2 \varphi_2 = 0$$

$$L[\varphi_2] = \varphi_2'' + a_1 \varphi_2' + a_2 \varphi_2 = 0$$

$$L[\varphi] = L[c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2]$$

$$= (c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2)'' + a_1 (c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2)' + a_2 (c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2)$$

$$= c_1 \varphi_1'' + c_2 \varphi_2'' + a_1 c_1 \varphi_1' + a_1 c_2 \varphi_2' + a_2 c_1 \varphi_1 + a_2 c_2 \varphi_2$$

$$= (\varphi_1'' + a_1 \varphi_1' + a_2 \varphi_1) c_1 + (\varphi_2'' + a_1 \varphi_2' + a_2 \varphi_2) c_2$$

$$= (0) c_1 + (0) c_2$$

$$L[\varphi] = 0$$

$\therefore$  Hence  $\varphi = c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2$  is the soln of  $L(y) = 0$ .

### Section-3

## Initial value problems for second order equations

Consider the equation

$$L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

An initial value problem for  $\textcircled{1}$  is the problem of finding a soln  $\phi$  satisfy

$$\phi(x_0) = \alpha, \quad \phi'(x_0) = \beta \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

where  $x_0$  some real number  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two given constant

$\therefore$  The above initial value problem

$$L(y) = 0$$

$$y(x_0) = \alpha$$

$$y'(x_0) = \beta$$

**Theorem: 2 (Existence Theorem)**

For any real  $x_0$  and constant  $\alpha, \beta$  there exists a soln  $\phi$  of the initial value problem  $L(y) = 0$   
 $y(x_0) = \alpha, y'(x_0) = \beta$  on  $-\infty < x < \infty$

**Proof:**

Given  $x_0$  is real,  $\alpha, \beta$  are constant interval is  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , There are unique constant  $c_1, c_2$  soln

$$\phi = c_1 \phi_1 + c_2 \phi_2 \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

The soln  $\phi$  of initial value problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \phi(x_0) &= \alpha \\ \phi'(x_0) &= \beta \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

where the soln  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_1 \neq x_2 \\ \phi_1(x) &= e^{x_1 x} \\ \phi_2(x) &= e^{x_2 x} \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

$$x_1 = x_2$$

$$\phi_1(x) = e^{x_1 x}$$

$$\phi_2(x) = x e^{x_1 x}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} c_1 \varphi_1(x_0) + c_2 \varphi_2(x_0) &= d \\ c_1 \varphi_1'(x_0) + c_2 \varphi_2'(x_0) &= p \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow (5)$$

equation (5) will have a unique soln  $c_1, c_2$  if the determinate

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1' & \varphi_2' \end{vmatrix} \\ = \varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_2 \varphi_1' \neq 0$$

Case (i)

If  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$

$$\varphi_1(x) = e^{\gamma_1 x}, \quad \varphi_1'(x) = \gamma_1 e^{\gamma_1 x} \\ \varphi_2(x) = e^{\gamma_2 x}, \quad \varphi_2'(x) = \gamma_2 e^{\gamma_2 x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \Delta &= \varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_1' \varphi_2 \\ &= e^{\gamma_1 x} \gamma_2 e^{\gamma_2 x} - \gamma_1 e^{\gamma_1 x} e^{\gamma_2 x} \\ &= e^{\gamma_1 x} e^{\gamma_2 x} (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) \\ &= e^{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)x} (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) \\ \Delta &= (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) e^{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)x} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \Delta \neq 0$

Case (ii)

If  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$

$$\varphi_1(x) = e^{\gamma_1 x}, \quad \varphi_1'(x) = \gamma_1 e^{\gamma_1 x} \\ \varphi_2(x) = x e^{\gamma_1 x}, \quad \varphi_2'(x) = x \gamma_1 e^{\gamma_1 x} + e^{\gamma_1 x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \Delta &= \varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_1' \varphi_2 \\ &= e^{\gamma_1 x} (x \gamma_1 e^{\gamma_1 x} + e^{\gamma_1 x}) - \gamma_1 e^{\gamma_1 x} x e^{\gamma_1 x} \\ &= x \gamma_1 e^{2\gamma_1 x} + e^{2\gamma_1 x} - x \gamma_1 e^{2\gamma_1 x} \\ &= e^{2\gamma_1 x} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta \neq 0$

Therefore the determinate condition is satisfied in either case, thus if  $c_1, c_2$  are the unique constants satisfying the function

$$\varphi = c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2$$

Defn:

Let  $\varphi(x)$  be any soln of  $L(y) = 0$  Then the magnitude (size) of  $\varphi(x)$  is defined as

$$\|\varphi(x)\| = \sqrt{|\varphi(x)|^2 + |\varphi'(x)|^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\varphi(x)\|^2 = |\varphi(x)|^2 + |\varphi'(x)|^2$$

Also size of  $\varphi$  will be measure by

$$k = 1 + |a_1| + |a_2|$$

Result:

If  $b$  and  $c$  any two constants

$$2|b|c \leq |b|^2 + |c|^2$$

$$0 \leq (|b| - |c|)^2$$

$$\leq |b|^2 + |c|^2 - 2|b|c$$

Theorem: 3

Let  $\varphi$  be any soln of  $L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0$  on an interval  $I$  containing a point  $x_0$  then for  $x$  in  $I$

$$\|\varphi(x)\| e^{-k|x-x_0|} \leq \|\varphi(x)\| \leq \|\varphi(x_0)\| e^{k|x-x_0|}$$

where

$$\|\varphi(x)\|^2 = |\varphi(x)|^2 + |\varphi'(x)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad k = 1 + |a_1| + |a_2|$$

Proof:

Given equation

$$L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

and  $\varphi$  is a soln of  $\textcircled{1}$

$$\text{let } u(x) = \|\varphi(x)\|^2$$

$$u(x) = |\varphi(x)|^2 + |\varphi'(x)|^2$$

$$u = |\varphi|^2 + |\varphi'|^2$$

$$u = \varphi \bar{\varphi} + \varphi' \bar{\varphi}'$$

Differentiate  $u$  w.r.t  $x$

$$u' = \varphi' \bar{\varphi} + \varphi \bar{\varphi}' + \varphi'' \bar{\varphi}' + \varphi' \bar{\varphi}''$$

$$|u'| \leq |\varphi'| |\bar{\varphi}| + |\varphi| |\bar{\varphi}'| + |\varphi''| |\bar{\varphi}'| + |\varphi'| |\bar{\varphi}''|$$

$$\leq |\varphi'| |\varphi| + |\varphi| |\varphi'| + |\varphi''| |\varphi'| + |\varphi'| |\varphi''|$$

$$\leq 2|\varphi| |\varphi'| + 2|\varphi'| |\varphi''| \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$



Since  $\varphi$  satisfies equation ① we have

$$L(\varphi) = 0$$

$$\varphi'' + a_1 \varphi' + a_2 \varphi = 0$$

$$\varphi'' = -a_1 \varphi' - a_2 \varphi$$

$$\Rightarrow |\varphi''| \leq |a_1| |\varphi'| + |a_2| |\varphi| \rightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

sub ③ in ② we get

$$|u'| \leq 2|\varphi| |\varphi'| + 2|\varphi'| [ |a_1| |\varphi'| + |a_2| |\varphi| ]$$

$$\leq 2|\varphi| |\varphi'| + 2|a_1| |\varphi'|^2 + 2|\varphi| |\varphi'| |a_2|$$

$$|u'| \leq 2(1+|a_2|) |\varphi| |\varphi'| + 2|a_1| |\varphi'|^2 \rightarrow \textcircled{4}$$

w.l.k.t

$$2|b| |c| \leq |b|^2 + |c|^2 \rightarrow \textcircled{5}$$

using ⑤ in ④

$$|u'| \leq (1+|a_2|) [ |\varphi|^2 + |\varphi'|^2 ] + 2|a_1| |\varphi'|^2$$

$$\leq (1+|a_2|) |\varphi|^2 + (1+|a_2|) |\varphi'|^2 + 2|a_1| |\varphi'|^2$$

$$\leq (1+|a_2|) |\varphi|^2 + (1+2|a_1|+|a_2|) |\varphi'|^2 \rightarrow \textcircled{6}$$

This inequality

$$\Rightarrow e^{-2ku} (u - 2ku) \leq 0$$

$$(e^{-2ku} u)' \leq 0$$

If  $x$  is  $\geq x_0$  we integrate this equation with respect  $x$ ,

$x_0$  to  $x$

$$\int_{x_0}^x (e^{-2ku} u)' \leq 0$$

$$[e^{-2ku} u]_{x_0}^x \leq 0$$

$$e^{-2kx} u(x) - e^{-2kx_0} u(x_0) \leq 0$$

$$e^{-2kx} u(x) \leq e^{-2kx_0} u(x_0)$$

$$u(x) \leq e^{2k(x-x_0)} u(x_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \| \phi(x) \|^2 \leq e^{2k(x-x_0)} \| \phi(x_0) \|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \| \phi(x) \| \leq e^{k|x-x_0|} \| \phi(x_0) \| \rightarrow \textcircled{7}$$

Similarly consider the left inequality of left

Limit  $x < x_0$

$$\| \phi(x_0) \| e^{-k(x-x_0)} \leq \| \phi(x) \| \rightarrow \textcircled{8}$$

Combine  $\textcircled{7}$  and  $\textcircled{8}$

$$\| \phi(x_0) \| e^{-k|x-x_0|} \leq \| \phi(x) \| \leq \| \phi(x_0) \| e^{k|x-x_0|}$$

Theorem: 4 (Uniqueness Theorem)

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  are any two constant and let  $x_0$  be any real number on any interval  $I$  containing  $x_0$  atmost one

Soln  $\phi$  of the I.V.P  $L(y)=0, y(x_0)=\alpha, y'(x_0)=\beta$

Proof:

Let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , we two Soln of the given problems

$$\text{Let } \theta = \phi - \psi \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

$$\text{Then } L(\theta) = L(\phi) - L(\psi)$$

$$= 0 - 0$$

$$L(\theta) = 0$$

by ①

$$\theta(x_0) = \varphi(x_0) - \psi(x_0)$$

$$= \alpha - \alpha$$

$$\theta(x_0) = 0$$

Similarly  $\theta'(x_0) = \varphi'(x_0) - \psi'(x_0)$

$$= \beta - \beta$$

$$\theta'(x_0) = 0$$

$$\|\theta(x_0)\|^2 = |\theta(x_0)|^2 + |\theta'(x_0)|^2$$

$$= 0 + 0$$

$$\|\theta(x_0)\|^2 = 0$$

using the inequality of previous Theorem

$$\|\varphi(x_0)\| e^{-K|x-x_0|} \leq \|\varphi(x)\| \leq \|\varphi(x_0)\| e^{K|x-x_0|} \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

from the function  $\theta$

$$\|\theta(x_0)\| = 0 \quad \forall x \text{ in } \mathcal{I}$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta(x_0) = 0 \quad \forall x \text{ in } \mathcal{I}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi = \psi$$

Hence atmost one soln  $\varphi$  of the initial value problem

$$y(x_0) = \alpha, \quad y'(x_0) = \beta, \quad \mathcal{L}(y) = 0$$

Theorem: 5

Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be two soln of  $\mathcal{L}(y) = 0$  given by

$$\varphi_1(x) = e^{r_1 x}, \quad \varphi_2(x) = e^{r_2 x} \text{ in case } r_1 \neq r_2 \text{ (distinct) and}$$

$r_1 = r_2$  (Repeated). If  $c_1, c_2$  are any two constants the

function  $\varphi = c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2$  is a soln of  $\mathcal{L}(y) = 0$  on  $-\infty < x < \infty$ .

conversely if  $\varphi$  is any soln of  $\mathcal{L}(y) = 0$  on  $-\infty < x < \infty$

There are unique constant  $c_1, c_2$  such that  $\varphi = c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2$ .

Proof:

First Part

Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be two solns of  $\mathcal{L}(y) = 0$  that is

$$\varphi_1(x) = e^{r_1 x}, \quad \varphi_2(x) = e^{r_2 x}$$

24 - 11/9/19

$$L(y) = 0, \quad L(y_1) = 0$$

Assume that  $q$  is any soln of  $L(y) = 0$ . On  $-a < x < a$  there are unique constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$q = c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2$$

We prove that

$$L(q) = L(c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2) = 0$$

$$L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0$$

$$L(q) = (c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2)'' + a_1 (c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2)' + a_2 (c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2)$$

$$= c_1 y_1'' + c_2 y_2'' + a_1 c_1 y_1' + a_1 c_2 y_2' + a_2 c_1 y_1 + a_2 c_2 y_2$$

$$= c_1 (y_1'' + a_1 y_1' + a_2 y_1) + c_2 (y_2'' + a_1 y_2' + a_2 y_2)$$

$$= c_1 L(y_1) + c_2 L(y_2)$$

$$= c_1 (0) + c_2 (0)$$

$$L(q) = 0$$

$\therefore q$  is the soln of  $L(y) = 0$

$\Rightarrow$  There exists constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$q = c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2$$

Converse part:

Let  $q$  be the soln to  $y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0$ ,  $q(x_0) = d$ ,  $q'(x_0) = \beta$

By Existence Theorem there exists a soln  $\psi$  of  $L(y) = 0$ ,  $\psi(x_0) = d$ ,  $\psi'(x_0) = \beta$

$$L(y) = 0,$$

$$\psi(x_0) = d$$

$$\psi'(x_0) = \beta \quad \text{such that}$$

$$\psi = c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are unique constants determined by  $d, \beta$

by uniqueness theorem

$$q = \psi$$

Hence the theorem.

## Linear dependent and independent

Defn: Linearly dependent

Two functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  on an interval  $I$  are said to be linearly dependent on  $I$  if  $\exists$  two constants not both zero such that

$$c_1 \varphi_1(x) + c_2 \varphi_2(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \text{ in } I$$

Defn: Linearly Independent

The functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are said to be linearly independent on  $I$ . If the constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are such that

$$c_1 \varphi_1(x) + c_2 \varphi_2(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \text{ in } I$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = c_2 = 0$$

(or)

Two functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are said to be linearly independent on  $I$ . If they are not linearly dependent on  $I$ .

Wronskian of two functions:

Wronskian of two functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  defined on  $I$  is denoted  $w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) &= \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1' & \varphi_2' \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_2 \varphi_1' \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\text{let } \varphi_1(x) = \sin x, \quad \varphi_2(x) = \cos x$$

$$\varphi_1'(x) = \cos x, \quad \varphi_2'(x) = -\sin x$$

$$w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \sin x & \cos x \\ \cos x & -\sin x \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -\sin^2 x - \cos^2 x$$

$$= -(\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x)$$

$$w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) = -1$$

Theorem: 6

Two solns  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  of  $y''=0$  are linearly independent on Interval  $I$ , iff  $\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x) \neq 0 \forall x$  in  $I$ .

Proof:

Let us suppose  $\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in I$  and

Let  $c_1, c_2$  be constants  $\exists$

$$c_1 \phi_1(x) + c_2 \phi_2(x) = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1} \quad \forall x \in I$$

Also by differential  $\textcircled{1}$  w.r.t  $x$

$$c_1 \phi_1'(x) + c_2 \phi_2'(x) = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{2} \quad \forall x \in I$$

for a fixed  $x$ , the equation  $\textcircled{1}$  and  $\textcircled{2}$  are linearly homogenous equations satisfied by  $c_1, c_2$

The determinant of the coefficient is  $\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x)$  which is not zero.

$\therefore c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0$  is only soln of  $\textcircled{1}$  and  $\textcircled{2}$

$\therefore \phi_1, \phi_2$  are linearly independent on  $I$ .

Conversely

Let us assume  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are linearly independent on  $I$

T-P:  $\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in I$

There exists  $\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_0) = 0$

Take the equations

$$c_1 \phi_1(x_0) + c_2 \phi_2(x_0) = 0$$

$$c_1 \phi_1'(x_0) + c_2 \phi_2'(x_0) = 0$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constant

These are linearly homogenous equations.

Here the determinant of the constant

$$\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_0) = 0$$

$\therefore$  atleast one of the constants  $c_1, c_2$  is not zero.

For the constants  $c_1, c_2$  we have

$$c_1 \phi_1(x) + c_2 \phi_2(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in I$$

When  $c_1, c_2$  is not zero.

Let  $c_1, c_2$  such a soln consider the function

$$\psi = c_1 \psi_1 + c_2 \psi_2$$

Now,  $L(\psi) = 0$

$$\psi(x_0) = 0, \quad \psi'(x_0) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \psi_1, \psi_2$  are linearly independent on  $I$

This contradicts the statement of the theorem

$$\omega(\psi_1, \psi_2)(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in I$$

Hence the theorem.

### Theorem 7

Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  two solns of  $L(y) = 0$  on an interval  $I$  and let  $x_0$  be any point in  $I$  then  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are linearly independent on  $I$  iff  $\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_0) \neq 0$

Proof:

Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  be linearly independent on  $I$

by above theorem

$$\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in I$$

In particular

$$\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_0) \neq 0$$

conversely

$$\text{let } \omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_0) \neq 0$$

claim:

$\phi_1, \phi_2$  are linearly independent on  $I$ .

consider the equations

$$c_1 \phi_1(x_0) + c_2 \phi_2(x_0) = 0$$

$$c_1 \phi_1'(x_0) + c_2 \phi_2'(x_0) = 0 \quad \forall x_0 \in I$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are constants.

Since the determinant of above linearly homogenous equation is

$$\omega(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_0) = \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(x_0) & \phi_2(x_0) \\ \phi_1'(x_0) & \phi_2'(x_0) \end{vmatrix} = \phi_1(x_0)\phi_2'(x_0) - \phi_2(x_0)\phi_1'(x_0)$$

We obtained  $c_1 \phi_1(x) + c_2 \phi_2(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in I$

and  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$

Thus  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are linearly independent on  $I$ .

Theorem: 8

Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are any two l.i. soln of  $L(y)=0$  on an interval  $I$ . Then every soln  $\phi$  of  $L(y)=0$  can be written uniquely as  $\phi = c_1\phi_1 + c_2\phi_2$  where  $c_1, c_2$  are constant.

Proof:

Let  $x_0$  be a point in  $I$

Given that  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are l.i. on  $I$

W.K.T  $w(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_0) \neq 0$

Let  $\phi$  be any soln with  $\phi(x_0) = \alpha, \phi'(x_0) = \beta$

Consider two equations

$$c_1\phi_1(x_0) + c_2\phi_2(x_0) = \alpha = \phi(x_0) \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

$$c_1\phi_1'(x_0) + c_2\phi_2'(x_0) = \beta = \phi'(x_0) \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are constants satisfying  $\textcircled{1}$  and  $\textcircled{2}$

Let  $c_1, c_2$  be these constants then the functions

$\psi = c_1\phi_1 + c_2\phi_2$  is such that

$$\psi(x_0) = c_1\phi_1(x_0) + c_2\phi_2(x_0)$$

$$= \alpha$$

$$\psi(x_0) = \phi(x_0)$$

$$\psi'(x_0) = c_1\phi_1'(x_0) + c_2\phi_2'(x_0)$$

$$= \beta$$

$$\psi'(x_0) = \phi'(x_0)$$

$$L(\psi) = L(c_1\phi_1 + c_2\phi_2) = 0$$

$$\psi = \phi$$

$\therefore \psi$  is a soln of  $\phi$  is  $L(y)=0$

uniqueness theorem

$$\phi = c_1\phi_1 + c_2\phi_2$$

Hence proved.



Section-5

A formula for the wronskian

Theorem: 9

If  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are two solns of  $L(y) = 0$  on interval  $I$  containing at point  $x_0$  Then

$$w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) = e^{-a_1(x-x_0)} w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x_0)$$

Proof:

Since  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are two soln of

$$L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0 \rightarrow (1)$$

We have

$$L(\varphi_1) = \varphi_1'' + a_1 \varphi_1' + a_2 \varphi_1 = 0 \rightarrow (2)$$

$$L(\varphi_2) = \varphi_2'' + a_1 \varphi_2' + a_2 \varphi_2 = 0 \rightarrow (3)$$

$$(2) \times \varphi_2 \Rightarrow \varphi_2 \varphi_1'' + a_1 \varphi_1' \varphi_2 + a_2 \varphi_1 \varphi_2 = 0$$

$$(3) \times \varphi_1 \Rightarrow \varphi_1 \varphi_2'' + a_1 \varphi_2' \varphi_1 + a_2 \varphi_1 \varphi_2 = 0$$

$$\underline{(\varphi_1 \varphi_2'' - \varphi_2 \varphi_1'') + a_1 (\varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_2 \varphi_1')} = 0 \rightarrow (4)$$

If  $w = w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1' & \varphi_2' \end{vmatrix}$$

$$w = \varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_1' \varphi_2 \rightarrow (5)$$

$$w' = \varphi_1 \varphi_2'' + \varphi_1' \varphi_2' - \varphi_1' \varphi_2' - \varphi_2 \varphi_1''$$

$$w' = \varphi_1 \varphi_2'' - \varphi_2 \varphi_1'' \rightarrow (6)$$

sub (6) and (5) in (4)

$$w' + a_1 w = 0$$

$$\frac{dw}{dx} + a_1 w = 0$$

$$\frac{dw}{dx} = -a_1 w$$

$$\frac{dw}{w} = -a_1 dx$$

$$\int \frac{dw}{w} = -a_1 \int dx$$

$$\log w = -a_1 x + \log c$$

$$\log w - \log c = -a_1 x$$

$$\log\left(\frac{w}{c}\right) = -a_1 x$$

$$w/c = e^{-a_1 x}$$

$$w = c e^{-a_1 x} \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

put  $x = x_0$

$$w(x_0) = c e^{-a_1 x_0}$$

$$c = w(x_0) e^{a_1 x_0} \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

sub  $\textcircled{2}$  in  $\textcircled{1}$

$$w = w(x_0) e^{a_1 x_0 - a_1 x}$$

$$w = w(x_0) e^{-a_1(x-x_0)}$$

$$\Rightarrow w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) = e^{-a_1(x-x_0)} w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x_0)$$

### Section - 6

The Non-homogenous equations of order two

Theorem: 10

Let 'b' be continuous on an interval I. Every soln  $\psi$  of  $L(y) = b(x)$  on I can be written as

$\psi = \psi_p + c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2$ . Where  $\psi_p$  is a particular soln  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are two I-I soln of  $L(y) = 0$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are constants. A particular soln  $\psi_p$

(i) Given that 
$$\psi_p(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\varphi_1(t)\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(t)}{w(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(t)} b(t) dt$$

conversely every such  $\psi$  is a soln of  $L(y) = b(x)$ .

Proof:

Let us consider the homogenous equation

$$L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_2 y = 0 \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Given  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are two linear independent of  $L(y) = 0$

(ii) soln is  $c_1 \varphi_1 + c_2 \varphi_2 \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are constants

Let us choose

$$\psi_p(x) = u_1(x) \varphi_1(x) + u_2(x) \varphi_2(x) \rightarrow (3)$$

be a particular soln of

$$L(y) = b(x) \rightarrow (4)$$

$$L(\psi_p) = b(x)$$

$$(i) L[\psi_p] = \psi_p'' + a_1 \psi_p' + a_2 \psi_p = b(x) \rightarrow (5)$$

$$\psi_p = u_1 \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_2$$

$$\psi_p' = u_1 \varphi_1' + u_1' \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_2' + u_2' \varphi_2 \rightarrow (6)$$

$$\psi_p'' = u_1 \varphi_1'' + \varphi_1' u_1' + u_1' \varphi_1' + u_1'' \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_2'' + u_2' \varphi_2' + u_2'' \varphi_2 + u_2' \varphi_2'$$

$$\psi_p'' = u_1 \varphi_1'' + 2u_1' \varphi_1' + 2u_2' \varphi_2' + u_1'' \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_2'' + u_2'' \varphi_2 \rightarrow (7)$$

sub (3), (6), (7) in (5)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & (u_1 \varphi_1'' + 2u_1' \varphi_1' + 2u_2' \varphi_2' + u_1'' \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_2'' + u_2'' \varphi_2) + \\ & a_1 [u_1 \varphi_1' + u_1' \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_2' + u_2' \varphi_2] + \\ & a_2 [u_1 \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_2] \end{aligned} \right\} = b(x)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & u_1 [\varphi_1'' + a_1 \varphi_1' + a_2 \varphi_1] + u_2 [\varphi_2'' + a_1 \varphi_2' + a_2 \varphi_2] \\ & + 2[u_1' \varphi_1' + u_2' \varphi_2'] + [u_1'' \varphi_1 + u_2'' \varphi_2] + \\ & a_1 [u_1' \varphi_1 + u_2' \varphi_2] \end{aligned} \right\} = b(x)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & 2[u_1' \varphi_1' + u_2' \varphi_2'] + [u_1'' \varphi_1 + u_2'' \varphi_2] + \\ & a_1 [u_1' \varphi_1 + u_2' \varphi_2] \end{aligned} \right\} = b \rightarrow (*)$$

Assume

$$u_1' \varphi_1 + u_2' \varphi_2 = 0 \rightarrow (8)$$

$$[u_1' \varphi_1 + u_2' \varphi_2]' = 0 \rightarrow (9)$$

$$u_1'' \varphi_1 + u_1' \varphi_1' + u_2'' \varphi_2 + u_2' \varphi_2' = 0$$

$$(u_1'' \varphi_1 + u_2'' \varphi_2) + (u_1' \varphi_1' + u_2' \varphi_2') = 0 \rightarrow (10)$$

sub (8), (9), (10) in (\*)

$$u_1' \varphi_1' + u_2' \varphi_2' = b \rightarrow (11)$$

equation (8) and (11) are two linear equations for  $u_1, u_2$  with the determinate which is  $\omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ . This  $\omega$  is never zero on  $I$ . Because  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are linearly independent

$\therefore$  There exists unique soln of  $u_1, u_2$

$$\omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1' & \varphi_2' \end{vmatrix} = \varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_1' \varphi_2 \rightarrow (12)$$

$$(8) \times \varphi_1' \Rightarrow u_1 \varphi_1' \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_1' \varphi_2 = 0$$

$$(11) \times \varphi_1 \Rightarrow \frac{u_1 \varphi_1' \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_1 \varphi_2'}{\varphi_1} = \frac{b \varphi_1}{\varphi_1}$$

$$u_2 (\varphi_1' \varphi_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2') = -b \varphi_1$$

$$-u_2 (\varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_1' \varphi_2) = -b \varphi_1$$

$$u_2 = \frac{b \varphi_1}{(\varphi_1 \varphi_2' - \varphi_1' \varphi_2)}$$

$$u_2' = \frac{b \varphi_1}{\omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$$

Similarly

$$u_1' = \frac{-\varphi_2 b}{\omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$$

If  $x_0$  is in  $I$ , we get

$$u_1 = - \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\varphi_2(t) b(t)}{\omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(t)} dt$$

$$u_2' = \int_{x_0}^x \frac{\varphi_1(t) b(t)}{\omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(t)} dt$$

The soln  $\psi_p = u_1 \varphi_1 + u_2 \varphi_2$  then takes the form

$$\psi_p(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \left[ \frac{\varphi_1(t) \varphi_2(x) - \varphi_2(t) \varphi_1(x)}{\omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(t)} \right] b(t) dt$$

Converse part

K.K.T

$$L(y) = y'' + a_1 y' + a_0 y = b(x)$$